



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,223	01/20/2004	J Gary Eden	1032241	2183
757 7590 07/23/2009 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				
EXAMINER				
SHAHRESTANI, NASTIR				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3737				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/23/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/762,223

Applicant(s)

EDEN ET AL.

Examiner

NASIR SHAHRESTANI

Art Unit

3737

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10, 12, 23, 29-33, 46 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11, 13-22, 24-28, 34-45, 47-60 and 62-64 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/21/2008.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 52-65 have been renumbered 51-64 respectively.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-10, 12, 23, 29-33, 46 and 62 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 03/26/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 11, 13, 14, 16-21, 26-28, 34-43, 45, 47-49, 52, 53, 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagai (U.S. 7,075,055 B2).

Nagai teaches a measuring device comprising a first magneto-optical medium (structure 48) that exhibits a response in the form of faraday rotation (col. 17 lines 34-39) by the

application of a magnetic field and exhibition of hysteresis characteristics; a light source (element 2201) to emit light that impinges on the magneto-optical element; a modulation element (modulator, structure 49) to apply a time-varying magnetic field of sufficient strength; a detector (claim 1) configured to detect a change in the light caused by a reaction of the first magneto-optical element to a magnetic field of a subject. Nagai further teaches providing a permalloy film (col. 29 lines 42-67) and means to order remove background noise to observe clear magnetized distribution. Nagai further teaches providing a shielding film (element 2106) which provides general shielding of all components from electromagnetic radiation. Nagai teaches a pattern generator (element 706) which inputs a trigger signal (element 707) synchronized with the pattern signal to a delay circuit (element 705). The laser driver (element 712) generates a drive pulse (element 713) by the inputted trigger (element 711) to drive a semiconductor laser (element 714) with a pulse (see fig. 7). Nagai further teaches a platform (sample stage 2205) for the system which can be construed to provided some degree of vibration isolation. Nagai further teaches a liquid crystal spatial phase modulator (element 1501).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(c), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15, 25 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai (U.S. 7,075,055 B2).

Regarding claims 15 and 44 Nagai clearly teaches the use of thin films in various configurations (fig. 20A, 20B, 20C) which are utilized as magneto-optical elements within the system. Nagai does not teach the use of two magneto-optical within the system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Nagai and to have provided another magneto-optical film element, since the mere duplication of parts has not patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.

Furthermore, Nagai does not specifically teach the use of a single nonmagnetic frame upon which optical components of the detection apparatus are mounted however such a modification would only require routine skill in the art and hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Nagai and to have provided a nonmagnetic frame for mounting in order minimize interference due to magnetic fields of the system.

Claims 22, 24, 50, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai (U.S. 7,075,055 B2) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Matsushita et al. (U.S. 2002/0149832 A1).

Nagai teaches the optically active element (element 301) can be made of optically active crystals such as quartz (Figs. 3A and 3B). Nagai does not teach YIG films.

Matsushita et al. teach a faraday rotator (see title) wherein a multilayer film type is provided in which satisfactory optical characteristics are obtained with a small number of layers (abstract). Matsushita et al. further teach the availability of YIG bulk single crystal, about 2mm in thickness (par. 0006).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Nagai and to have provided another type of magneto-optical film element, such as YIG as taught by Matsushita et al. in order provide for an optimized film element.

Claims 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai (U.S. 7,075,055 B2) as applied to claim 40 above, and further in view of Tsukada et al. (U.S. 2002/0173714 A1).

Nagai teaches all the limitations of claim 40 but do not teach the measurement of magnetic fields from the heart.

Tsukada et al. teach a system wherein magnetic fields of the hear are measured (Figs. 25A, 25B, and 25C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Nagai and to have included the known means of measuring the magnetic field of the heart in order to provide mappings for diagnostic purposes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NASIR SHAHRESTANI whose telephone number is (571)270-1031. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs: 7:30-5:00, 2nd Friday: 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
3737

/Nasir Shahrestani/

Application/Control Number: 10/762,223

Page 7

Art Unit: 3737

Examiner, Art Unit 3737